G.R.S. IX—5] (Preserve)

Previous references. 1921. **File No.** 7-21

SPA 20-20 & 4-5 Hb (RDA) **Serial Nos.** 165-7 8-9-10 & 28 to 34

Later references.

I & C 7-21-35736.

SPR 12)-31 (3,416,1172)

SP. 12-39-

S.P 12-39

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 16

Part B for June 1923

SUBJECT

Sarjapur Jahgir Hamida Pension

---

2) Je 55 67-8 d 6.6.1923 to the Dy Commr Bangalore
to the Comptroller

Directs that the Sarjapur pension of
Rs 5-10-0 be registered in the name of
Abdul Rahim Khan

RSD 15/6/23

G. R. S. III—1] 191 2 /

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S/H)

NOTES.

File No. 2/

FILE HEADING.

Sarjapur Jaghir Family Pension

From D. Abdul Rahiman Khan, Arvanhalli

No. Pchn Dated 6.7.21 Received 14.7.21

Diary No. 170	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for the reconsideration of order passed in G.O. of 13.6.21 & requests that the Sarjapur pension may be registered in his name or it may be equitably distributed to all the claimants to the Vacant pension

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Reference is invited to notes of ~~Discussion~~ Members minute of 14.5.21 in the reference file cited. (S/H-20-20-16)

Submitted for orders

18/7

NOTES—First Sheet.

19/7

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S & A File No. 7 of 1926-22

Serial No.

3) The request for consideration of the Govt of 15-6-21, which has issued after a full & exhaustive discussion of the merits of the case, has been refused.

4) No new specific facts for consideration have been set forth.

5) There is no ~~circumstance~~ 'sudden change' in the Govt Communal reconsideration as the petitioner seems to suggest.

6) The first recommendation ~~was~~ that the ~~pension case of the late~~ petitioner may be permitted to draw the pension from the Treasury on behalf of the deceased's closest heir was based on the information that he then was about the grandson being a minor. It having transpired that he had attained majority, the pension was recommended to be enjoyed in his name. This recommendation was duly followed.

275/1/8

7) The Petr states that Ahmed Khan Elder Son has deserted his mother & sisters. The Dy Cr may be asked to report if there is any truth in this allegation & if the bad conduct is such as to warrant the non-

30/7/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

. R. S. III-21

170

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S.P.H. File No. 7 of 19 1

Serial No.	
	for the benefit of the family. He Peter may be sent for refreshment 9.8.21 Draft K Todd
	8) Draft: Silent. Ans 16/8 9) Issues RS
	TSA 19/8
2	To D.C., Bangalore, Dl 1175 & 23.8.21 Forwards for enquiry & report the petition of Abdul Rahim Khan on the allegations made by him
3	From Sara Bee, Peth & 22.8.21. Stated that the ~~Vacant pension~~ late Mahomed Raza Khan was protecting her out of the family pension & ~~she prays~~ that the pension now registered in the name of Ahmed Khan who is a boy of 16 years has separated himself from the family at the instigation of bad people she is not in a position to help her & prays that the vacant pension may be ordered to be registered either in her name or in the name of her second Son Abdul Rahiman Khan who is protecting her. Draft

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1166 1702 4

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S & R File No. 7 of 1921

Serial No.	
10	Draft Submitted forwarding the petition in continuation of Pl. 2
	TWS 15/9 — In 18/9/21
	TYR 19/9
4	To A.C. Bangalore, Pl 1976 of 27.9.21 forwards the petn of Sara Bee in continuation of Pl. 2 for enquiry & report.
5	From A. Abdul Rahiman Khan of 21.9.21 States that the pension now enjoyed by Ahmed Khan is only minor boy & prays that a stay order may be issued to Comptr. not to disburse the pension pending orders on his review petition. Submitted with references.
	TWS 28/9
11	Amount duly repaid. Please send reminder for the same. 6 29/9/21
	TYR 29/9

The petitioner states that Ahmed Khan to whom the vacant pension has been ordered to be registered is a minor boy & he fears

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1702 206 (5

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | [redacted] File No. 289 of 19 24

Serial No.	
	fears that if the petition is dismissed by him he will be put to loss etc. & prays for Stay order to be issued to Complr. pending orders on his revision petition —
	12) May the petition be forwarded to D.C. in continuation for enquiry & the Complr. be asked not to issue P.P. till he hears from the office?
	13) Yes. Issue as proposed.
	5/10 TSR 5/10 8/10/24
8	To D.C. Bangalore, Dt 2198 & 13.X.24 Forwards the above petition in continuation of Dt. 4 and requests to expedite the report called for.
9	From Abdul Rahim Khan, Petn & 9/10/24 Prays that Stay order may be issued to Complr. not to distrain the arrears of pension due to his late brother - pending orders on his review petition.
10	Submitted for orders. The D.C. has not submitted the report as yet 21/10 LSR 21/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

2170

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S+R, File No. 7 of 1921

PUD - I

F

Serial No.	
9	Petition dy 25. X. 21 from Mr. Abdul Rahim Refers to his previous petitions & prays that the pension may either be restored in his name or it may be distributed to the different claimants. & quotes certain precedents in support of his claim.
15)	Financial Secretary's order on the petn: "Where is the case. He says that his "brother's son is not a major & that the pension "should not be paid to the minor. "If payment has to be stopped prompt "action has to be taken. Please put up "the papers at once.
16)	Submitted for orders with previous references with a printed list of Sanjapur Pensioners.
	26/10.
	26/10
17)	Kindly see serial No. 8. The D-C's report is awaited. The D-C reported that the applicant's brother's son Ahmedkha in whose name the pension has been continued, had attained majority. Vide Flag S. Before the further report called for from the D-C is received I do not know if it is desirable before

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

170 17

Serial No.

C

Payment of pension. The G.O of 13th June 24 was issued after a full consideration of the claims of all the parties concerned. The pension sanctioned is Rs 5.10.0 a month.

Flores 27/10

Rs 26.10.24.

18) I agree. Please send the present petition also to the DC and pointedly draw his attention to the allegation that the pensioner is a minor and has deserted his mother & sisters and add that if there is any truth in this allegation action may be taken not to pay the arrears to the pensioner pending the DC's further report.

An endorsement may be given to the petitioner to say that his petition has been sent to the DC for reports and orders will be passed on receipt of his reports only.

27/10-24

Today 28/10

NP.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

170
1542

9)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S+A File No.) . of 19 21

Serial No.

22) The D.C. now reports that at the time of the death of Raza Khan all the members of the family were all living together. As they are since divided each wants the pension to be registered in their name.

23). As this Sanjapur pension is granted for the benefit of the family all the members are entitled to shares & Therefore the D.C recommends that the pension be divided equally among the surviving claimants - viz --

1) ~~Abdul~~ Ahmed Khan (eldest son of the deceased)
2) Abdul Rahiman Khan (brother of the deceased)
3) Abdul Wahab Khan (— do —).

Note: In cases of dispute among the family members it is usual to register them separately to receive shares in equal shares - (vide list of Sanjapur pensions) Flag - F.

24) Submitted for orders - with reference to Final Secrecy's note of 27. X. 21 - Page 7

Lwl 9/12 Abl 11/12

25) If the principle recommended for adoption in cases of the type file is to be strictly followed, subdivision as recommended by the D/c in the proposed disposal cannot be sanctioned. ~~May the petitioner~~ The D/c reports that it is not a fact that the rejected stipendiary has deserted

Lwl 9/12.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

1642/2578

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 7 of 19 21

Serial No.

his grandmother. May the petitioner and the Dfc be informed that the stipend cannot be subdivided.

Jt Secy
21/1/23

26) The amt of pension is only Rs. 5/10 p.m. and its subdivision is undesirable. Please see the view of the 2nd member on this point of Flag B. Reply as proposed by Asstt Secy may be issued.

Dewan
Chief Secy

Asstt
3-1-23

27) Ly
8/1/23

28) Lapse

Ly
10/1

29) Some orders as desired
10.1.23

30) Dangs please
11/1/23

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
Regd. on JAN 8 1923
No. 4082

P.V.D.-II

29 Petition of 8.1.23 from Ahmed Khan praying that the Sanjapur pension sanctioned in his name may be registered in the name of his uncle Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan

31) Jt Secy's order on the petn: "I think this is against the

11.1.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S & A File No. 7 of 19 21

Serial No.

the recommendation of the Dy: Commr.
" The petitioner is I believe a major.
" Please put up papers & note on the case.
Submitted

3 32) Yes the D.C. recommends that the pension may be divided equally among the 3 claimants. But the present petitioner - in whose name the present pension has been ordered to be registered, now prays that the pension lately in his name may be registered in the name of his uncle - Abdul Rahim Khan - under whose protection he is now and who is also the eldest member of the family.

33) The petitioner - Ahmed Khan was a minor at the time the G.O. of 13.6.21 was sanctioned to him, (the D.C. ~~in this present~~ now reports that the boy has attained his majority in August 1921) but now he is a major.

34) May the present petition be forwarded to D.C. Bangalore for furnishing a revised report informing that ~~the~~ his recommendation for division of the pension among the different claimants is not possible.

S

vide x para 3 of the deficient PUD - I

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

13/1 15/1

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SHA File No. 7 of 1921

Serial No.

35) Pkar put up draft in accordance with orders awaiting to above
mad
17/1/23

Draft
Persons are not numbered in the case. Nos should be given next year & invariably from all cases
7E Pkar mad 17/1/23

36) Draft submitted for approval with reference to orders in paras 26 to 29.
24/1 KM 24/1

PUD II

37) With reference to petition of 8.1.23 since received from Ahmed Khan & 7E Sreny's remarks thereon, attention is invited to para 29 of draft calling for the opinion of the Dy Comr. & the Dy Comr. on personal enquiry from the petitioner is satisfied that the registered purchaser is really serious about his request; there appears to be no objection to grant it
mad
25/1/23

SWJ

7E Sreny)

38) Draft
26.1.23
25.

(P.T.O.)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 7 of 1921

Serial No.	
30	To D.C. Bangalore, H 3428 d 22/1/23. Informs him that Govt. are not in favour of sub-dividing the pension as proposed by him in H: No 28 & forwards the petn (H: 29) since received from Ahmed Khan praying for the registry of the pension in his uncle's name instead of his name for repair.
31	From D.C. Bangalore, No 183 d 28/3/23. Forwards the report of enquiry in the petition of Ahmed Khan. ~~& States that~~ 39) The D.C. States that the petitioner Ahmed Khan (in whose name the Sarjapur Jaghir pension of Rs 5-10-0 has been registered in G.O. d 13.6.21) and his mother are now living with his uncle — Abdul Rahim Khan. As all the claimants have agreed, ~~that~~ the pension may be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan — who is the head member of the family 40) Submits for orders in the reference do para 37 — supra 41) The pension may be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan as prayed for by petitioner & recommended by the Dy Comd 18/4/23 6/4 H Secy)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

C

P.V.T.

B

42) The pension is now registered in the Name of the Son of the last pensioner and he is a major. He requests (and the Dy C. supports the request) that the pension may be registered in the Name of his uncle. The question is of this request shd be granted. I do not see any need for this transfer and I think this view is supported by the legal opinion expressed by the 2nd Member on 14-5-21

Second member Dewan Mrs Chitpacy

Mrs 23.4.27

43) Meanwhile I think the joint request of the persons interested which is supported by D.C. may be sanctioned by

742 26.4.23

24/4

44) If his registered brother dies when this happens the pension settled upon him by the Law in favour of the family. I do not see any reason why his transfer shd not be given effect to. It will be more convenient to deal with the head of the family than with him & several individual members. In case such

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

3760
7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 7 of 1924

Serial No.

4h 5i B

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY [illegible] 1928
Reg. No. 6676

In despatch of his receipt is to be made from outside. He does not withdraw objection against his name expressed by me in file no 20-20 of 1921-

KW 14/5

L 14/5

Dewan

14/5/23

Agree with the Hon'ble

Yes

Some orders needed

Draft 9/5/23

Draft G.O. Submitted for approval

29/5 29/5

Done 9/6/23

32 To A.G. Bengal Calcutta & Comptroller, No 5587-F of 6.6.23.

Directs that in modification of G.O. of 13/6/21 the Sanjhpur pension of Rs 5/10/0 be withdrawn in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3760/7

16

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/A File No. 7 of 1921

Serial No.	
	Draft endorsements to the petitioners are put up for approval. W.D. Hill. 9/6/916 W.D. 9/6
33	Endorsement to Mr. D. Abdul Rahiman Khan H 5867 dy 12.6.23
34	Endorsement to Mr. Ahmed Khan H/5868 dy 12.6.23. Informing the contents of his G.O. dy 6.6.23

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



(1) 170
P.C.
1921 - 1922
Section S & A
File No. 7-21
Sl 1

1294

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Review Petition No. of 1921-22.

Petitioner:-

D. Abdul Rahiman Khan, Peish Imam, Devanhalli, Bangalore District.

The petitioner above-named most respectfully begs to state as follows:-

1. That the petitioner's family has been receiving a Sarjapur Jaghir pension of Rs. 5-10-0 from time immemorial. So long as the petitioner's father Ahmed Khan was alive, he was receiving the said amount. After his death in the year 1904, the pension was registered in the name of the petitioner's elder brother Mahomed Razaak Khan with the consent of the petitioner and his younger brother who were all living jointly. The said Mahomed Razaak Khan died in the month of July 1919.

2. That it is clear from the above facts and from the records in the case, that the pension amount is not the exclusive property of the eldest line only but it has been granted for the benefit of the family as a whole and all the members of the family are entitled to share in it.

3. That after the death of the petitioner's brother, the said Mahomed Raza Khan, the petitioner submit-

submitted a petition to the Deputy Commissioner praying that the registry might be made in his name as he was the managing member of the family. Thereupon the Amildar of Devanhalli who was asked to report on the matter took down the statements of the petitioner his younger brother Abdul Wahab Khan and the widow of the deceased Mahomed Razakhan and a few townspeople. The said widow and the younger brother of the petitioner both have given statements in writing agreeing to the registry being made out in the name of the petitioner. Accordingly, the Deputy Commissioner ordered the registry in his name.

4. That the petitioner is now served with a copy of the order of the Government (Fl. 6922-3/ S & A 20-20-1 dated the 13th June 1921) stating that the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation that the vacant pension in the name of the deceased's eldest son Ahmed Khan ~~be registered~~ be registered has been sanctioned.

5. That the petitioner begs to submit that the above recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner is quite inconsistent with, and opposed to, his former recommendation which was clearly in favor of the petitioner. The petitioner is at a loss to know why and how a sudden change in the recommendation was brought about. That the Deputy Commissioner should not have overlooked the wishes of the members of the family including the widow of the deceased. The deceased's eldest son Ahmed Khan in whose favor the registry is directed to be made has now cut himself away from the family leaving his mother and sisters who are now under the protection of the petitioner, and as such he is not entitled to the registry at all.

all.

6) The petitioner therefore prays that the Government will be graciously pleased to revise their order and direct the registry to be made in his name. In the event of the Government holding that, for any reason, the registry cannot be made in the petitioner's name, he further prays that the pension amount be equitably distributed among all the claimants entitled to it under the law as it is by no means an impartible property.

7. As the registry is already made in the name of the said Ahmed Khan and as there is every likelihood of his drawing the pension amount from the Treasury early, the petitioner prays that a stay order may kindly issue directing the Deputy Commissioner not to pay him the amount until the disposal of this petition.

~~8. The petitioner begs to produce herewith copies~~ of the order of the Government and the Financial Secretary to Government.

Bangalore,
6-7-1921.

Yours [illegible] Rahim Khan

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

(2)

R e a d :-

Petitions dated 2nd December 1920 and 28th February 1921 from Abdul Rahim Khan and Abdul Wahab Khan brothers and Petition dated 27th February 1921 from Ammena Bee wife of the deceased Mahamed Raza Khan who was in receipt of a Sarjapur Jaghir Pension of Rs. 5-10-0 praying that the vacant pension may be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan as the eldest surviving member of family or that it may be equitably distributed among all the claimants.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. O.C. 694-19 dated 18th February 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore submitting a report on the case after local enquiry and recommending the continuance with effect from 19th July 1919 of the vacant pension in the name of the deceased's eldest son Ahmed Khan for the benefit of the family.

H 6922-3
S+A 20-20-75

O R D E R No.

Dated, Bangalore 13 June 1921.

The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation is sanctioned.

For Financial Secretary to Government

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore returning the records.

T.S.

(3)

G. R. S. 34]

No. R 7080 / S.A.20-10-16

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Financial)

Endorsement.

~~Office Memorandum~~

~~XX~~

The 28 June 1921.

To

Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan,

Peish Imam,

Devanhalli.

With reference to ~~his~~ petition dated. 11th January 1921 in the matter of the continuance of the Vacant Sarjapur pension of Rs. ~~5-10-0, a copy of the~~ Government Order dated 13th June 1921 is furnished for information.

" By Order "

K. Seshagiri Iyengar

for Financial Secretary to Government.

Ent

T.S.

GPB—5,0-30-8-13

WQ 2 1593 8) 8/9/21

SSA 7-21-2

From

M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Secretary to Govt.

To

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

I am directed to forward herewith for enquiry and report the petition of Mr. D.Abdul Rahiman Khan of Sarjapur Jaghir Family and request you to be so good as to state, whether there is any truth in the allegation that Ahmed Khan, the oldest son, has deserted his mother and sisters and if the Barawardar is not using the pension for the benefit of the family. (Vide para 5 of the petition).

2. The petition may be returned with your reply.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Fair draft)

22/8

For Financial Secretary to Government.

MK.2.



17166

To

The Dewan Sahib of Mysore State,

B a n g a l o r e.

Respected Sir,

I, Sarabi, widow of the late Ahmed Khan, Sarjapur Jahagir Pensioner, residing at Devanhalli, Bangalore District, humbly beg to state as follows:-

1. My husband, the late Ahmed Khan was receiving Sarjapur Jahagir Pension of Rs.5-10-0 per month, from the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, till his death in 1904.

2. After his death, the Registry ought to have been made in my name. But as my eldest son Mohammed Raza Khan was managing the family affairs and was protecting me, I consented to the registry being made in his name. He was receiving pension for and on behalf of the family. He too died in the month of July 1919.

3. After his death, the question of registry of the pension arose, and my statement was taken by the Amildar, I have stated before him that the registry might be made in the name of my 2nd son Abdul Rahim Khan who is now managing the family affairs The Deputy Commissioner, however, has been pleased to register it in the name of Ahmed Khan, the eldest son of the said Mohammed Razak Khan. I humbly submit this Affidavit of the Deputy Commissioner is quite unjust and illegal.

5/7

4. The said Ahmed Khan who is nearly 16 Years of age has seperated himself from the family at the instigation of some bad people. He is not in a position to render any help to me.

5. As stated above, I am entitled to receive the pension in preferrence to anybody.

6. I, therefore, humbly pray that you may be graciously pleased to direct the registry to be made either in my name or in the name of my 2nd son Abdul Rahiman Khan, who is the Manager of the family.

I humbly beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Bangalore,
Dated 11 Aug. '21

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1166

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* *191*

Date of issue 27/9/21

Signed by Supdt

Section SRA
File No. 7/21
Serial No. 4

No. H 1976
dt 27th Sept/21

To
The Dy Commr
Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to forward for favour of enquiry & report a petition dt 22.8.21 received from Sava Bibi of Sanjapur Jagir Tandhy in continuation of this office letter No H 1593 dt 8.9.21

SRA-7-21-2. over.

Superintendent

Dy Secy

Please put up this paper at once for order

To

Bangalore. 26th Sept 1921.

(11)

The Financial Secratary to the Govt. of

H.H.Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Respected Sir,

Petitioner.--- D.Abdul Rahimonkhah ,
Peish Imam, Devanhalli, Bangalore Distt.
& Sarjapur Jhaghir Pensioner

Respected Sir,

1702
78
21
22
S
7-21
5

(1) The petitioner above named most respectfully begs to state as follows:--

(2) That on 6-7-1921, the petitioner submitted a review petition to the Government praying that the order No. F.L.6922-3/- S & A 20-20-1 dated the 13th June 1921 re the registry of the Sarjapur Jhaghir pension of Rs. 5-10-0 in favour of Ahmed Khan might be kindly revised He had also prayed that the Govt. might be graciously pleased to issue a stay order directing that the pension amount should not be disbursed till the revision petition was disposed of that the petitioner learns that the Govt. are graciously pleased to send back the records to the Deputy Commissioner for further inquiry. He also learns that the records are now with the Amildar of Devanhalli Taluq. But no stay order has been issued.

(3) That the petitioner now understands that the Comptroller has ordered payment of the pension amount to the said Ahmed Khan. The Said Ahmed Khan has not yet attained majority as he is a minor whose age is only just 17 years. who was born in the year 1905 just a year after the death of my father in 1904.

(4) A kind reference to the Amildar

Devanahalli will prove the real age of the boy. If the whole amount is paid to the minor boy the petitioner will be put to much loss. So, it is obsolutely necessary in the interests of justice that a stay order should be issued to prevent further trouble in the family.

(4) Therefore, he prays that the Government may be graciously pleased to issue a stay order early directing that no payment should be made till the disposal of the revi~~ew~~ petition.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

Wahab Rahim Khan

Bagh Imam

D 2068

DEVANAHALLI.....9-10-1921.

To

M.N.Krishna Rao Esqr; B.A;

Financial Secratary,

to the Govt. of H.H.Tha Maharajaof Mysore,

Camp---M Y S O R E.

Sir,

On 8-7-1921 I preferred a review petition to the Government of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore against their order No. F.L.6922-3/S.A.20-20-15 of 13th June 1921, re, continuance of the vacant Sarjapur pension of Rs. 5-10-0 a month. This petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, District for further inquiry & report. I now learn that the petition has been forwarded to the Amildar of Devanahalli Taluq for report.

(2) As I learnt that the amount would be paid to Ahamad Khan who is my deceased brother's son, immediately presented an application to you praying that an order might kindly issue directing the nonpayment of the amount to him until the disposal of the review petition. Uptill now no stay order seems to have been granted.

(3) I hear that a large sum of money amounting to nearly 200 Rupees will be immediately paid to him (Ahmed-Khan) who is not entitled to it. If the amount is paid then it will be impossible to me to recover it from him even if the review petition is directed in my favour as he is only a minor aged of 16 years owning no properties whatsoever. He is living alone separately.

(4) I therefore respectfully submit that it is essential in the interests of justice that a stay order should issue directing the nonpayment of the amount till the matter is finally disposed off. Becuase I will have to clear all my brother's debts nearly amounting to Rs. 1000/- more or less for which his creditors have issued me demand notices.

Therefore I pray for an early order accordingly.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Sd/- Rahim Khan

Peish-imam & Sarjapur Jhaghir

pensioner,

$170
.A1702

No. Fe 2198/SFR 7-21-8
dt 13/10/21

From

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

In continuation of my letter No. Fl. 1976 dated 27th September 1921 in the matter of Sarjapur Jhaghir Family pension of Rs. 5-10-0 continued in favour of Ahmed Khan, I am directed to forward another petition on the subject from Abdul Rahimonkhan and to request you to send up your report very early for the final orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

8/10/21

For Financial Secretary to Government.

TS.

DIARY NO. 2170

21-12-22

Before the Government of H. H.

The Maharaja Wayanad at

Dowlaiswaram

(Financial Department)

Petition No of 21-22

Review petition against the order
Fl 69/22-3/SOA 20-20-15 dated 13.6.21

Petitioner :- D. Abdul Rahiman

Khan Devanhalli.

The petitioner respectfully begs

to state as follows :-

That the petitioner submitted

a review petition on 8.7.21 against

the order of the Government

No Fl 69/22-3 dated the 13th

SOA 20-20-15

June 1921. The petition is still

pending disposal.

2. That the petitioner has stayed

therein that the Surjapur

Jaipur pension amount
of Rs 5—10—0 might be
registered in his name a
in the alternative the fund
amount might be distributed
amongst all the claimants.

3. That as regards the point which
the amount in question should
be distributed amongst all claimants
the petitioner begs to submit
that there are numerous
instances wherein the government
have been pleased to distribute
the amount equitably amongst
all the claimants. The
petitioner begs to invite the
kind attention of the government
to the several orders passed
by them regarding Sarjabar
Jaipur Stipendiaries, more
especially Rs 9 7 8 — 7 — 5 + A —
101-09-2 of 2nd Aug 1910 by which
the claimants concerned have
been given 0—7—6 each.

4 The petitioner therefore prays
that a similar order may
be passed in this case also.

25/10/21 Jaipur

Yours Obedient Servant
Lothar Rahim Khan

Rs 40+41 1/2 43-14
Rs from 1 to 43 hvt.
F

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2068
2170

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Spl)
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 28/10/21

Signed by M B Srivastava

Section

File No. 2/21

Serial No. 10

No.

To

The Political Agent
Banswara

In continuation of this
office letter No. 2198 dt. 13/10/21
I am directed to forward
herewith a petition dt.
9.X.21 & 25.X.21 received
from Mohd. Rahim
Khan of Sanjapur Jaipur
State & to draw your special
attention

over.

attention to the allegation that the respondent petitioner – Ahmed Khan is a minor that deserted his mother & sister.

If there is any truth in the allegation that the respondent is a minor – action may kindly be taken not to disburse the pension with the arrears pending your enquiry on the petition.

Thanking,

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

(Calls)

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to 2170

Section SM

File No. 7/21

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SM)

, *the* *191*

No. 7L 2446

To

Date of issue 28/10/24

Signed by MB Sreenivasa

Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan,
Sarjapur Jaghir Family,
Devanhalli.

In reply to your petitions dt. 9.X.21 & 25.X.21 praying ~~for~~ are not to disburse the pension registered in the name of his nephew ~~Abdul~~ Ahmed Khan etc, the petitioner is informed that his petitions has been forwarded to

over.

to The D.C. Bangalore for Very early
report & advs with be
passed on receipt of this
report

B.O.
N
28/9
Dor H Leary
Ills
27/11

TSR
27/10

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

21534 SSA 7-29-28

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 3rd November 1922.

No. Nc 183 of 21-22
1742

From

1642
4c.

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

GEN & REV. SECTT
7 NOV 1922

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letters Nos.159/S.A.7-21-2 dated8th September 1921, 1976/S.A.7-21-5 dated 27th September 1921, 2198/S.A.7-21 dated 13th October 1921 and 2445/S.A.7-21 dated 28th October 1921 forwarding for report the petitions of Abdul Rahiman Khan and Sarabibi of Sarjapur family, I have the honor to submit herewith the Dodballapur Sub-Division Officer's No.C.H.573 of 1921 22 dated 5th October 1922, and to state that the original stipend holder Mahomed Razakhan, at the time of his death had two brothers (Viz, the petitioner Abdul Rahiman Khan and Abdul Vahab Khan), two wives (viz, Aminabibi and Zahirabibi)with their children as noted in the margin



and a mother(Petitioner Sarabibi) surviving him.

2. As Ahamad Khan was the eldest son of the deceased Abdul Razakhan, the pension was registered in his name(Vide, Government order No.Fl. 6922-3/S.A.21-20-15 dated 13th June 1921).

3. At the time of Abdul RazaKhan's death and for some time after,

To

A. R. Banerji Esq., M.A., I.C.S., C.I.E., C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I beg to state that on the death of my father Mahamed Raja Khan of Sarjapur Jaghir family the pension of Rs5-10-0 drawn by my father was transferred to my name in Government order No.Fl.6922-3/S & A 20-20-15 dated 13th June 1921.

2. It is a custom among our family that when elders are surviving, young members of the family should be subordinate to them and it would look awkard in the eyes of members of the family if I am allowed to draw the pension and distribute the same to elders.

3. As I am still a young man who is solely under the protection of my uncle (Younger brother of my father) Mr.Abdul Rahim Khan and he is the sole surviving head member of the family, I humbly request that the above Sarjapur pension registered in my name Government order dated 13th June 1921 may kindly be registered in the name of my uncle the above Mr.Abdul Rahim Khan, who will disburse my share of pension regularly.

4. As we have not drawn the above pension for the last 3 years since, we are put much inconvenience and trouble, I pray that early orders may kindly be passed to transfer the pension to my uncle's name.

5. For which act of kindness and generosity I shall feel ever pray.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient and humble servant,

Ahmed Khan

Bangalore,
8th January 1923.

all the members of the family were living together. As they are since divided, each wants the pension to be registered in his name. Ahamad Khan attained his majority in the month of August 1921. As he has not yet drawn any part of the pension and as his grandmother (Petitioner Sarabibi)is living with her 2nd son Abdul Rahiman Khan, it cannot be said that he has deserted his grandmother and others.

4. The pension was originally granted for the benefit of the Sarjapur family in consideration for the resumption of Sarjapur and other villages held by them as Jahagir and as such all the members of the family are entitled to shares.

5. I therefore request you to be so good as to obtain sanction of Government to divide the pension amount equally among the surviving claimants as noted in the margin.

x
1. Ahamed Khan,)
2. Abdul Rahiman Khan,)
3. Abdul Vahab Khan.)

6. The petitions received with your letters are also returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. R. S.-VI—G/32]—

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2578

General and Revenue Secretariat

(27)

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 29/1/23

Signed by Mr Muhammad Khan

Section 58A
File No. 7/21
Serial No 30

No. R 3426

Enclt 1 file & 27 Jan 23

To

The Dt. Banjalak

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No Dt. 183-21 & 3. XI. 22 reporting on the petitions of Abdul Rahiman Khan & Sarabibi of Sarjapur Jodhpur Dandi I am directed to state that Govt. are not in favour of sub-dividing the pension as proposed by you.

2. Application since received from Ahmed Khan for paying for the upkeep of the tomb of his uncle's name instead of his name for which forward your

over.

Dhawal etc

Mull 26.1.23

Dt Secy

mad 26/1/23

(W) 24/1

(3) P.T.O)

3). The enclosures received with this letter need not be returned herewith

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

DIARY NO. 3460

1922 – 1923
Section: SdA 7-21
File No. 31
Serial No:

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Financial Secretary
to Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

No 46183 of 22-23

Dated 23-3-23

Received 24 MAR 1923

Govt. 1 file

Forwarded records
enquiry with Avabarayan
Sub-Inspector's report
CR 573 of 22-23 dated
17-3-23 re: the petition
of Ahamed Khan of
Sanjayan Sandra etc
and the pension may be
registered in the name
of Abdul Rahiman Khan

F 3161

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 22nd March 1923.

No. 246 183 of 22-3-23 (4th)

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

Rajakaryaprasakta,
V.P. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.3426/S & A.7-21-30 dated 27th January 1923 forwarding for report the petition of Ahamed Khan of Sarjapur family, I have the honor to forward herewith the records ending with the Dodballapur Sub-Division officer's No.C.R.573 of 21-22 dated 17th March 1923 and to state that petitioner and his mother are now living with his uncle Abdual Rahim Khan.

As all the claimants have agreed, the pension may be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan who is the head of the family.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
In charge.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to 3760.

Section SJA
File No. 7/21
Serial No. 32

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SJA)
, *the* *191* .

No. Proceedings of Govt.

Date of issue 6 June 23

Signed by Mohammad Ali Khan

Read

1) G.O. No. H 6927-3/SJA 20-20-5 dt 13.6.21 sanctioning the Sanjeevan Jagir pension of Rs 10-0 drawn by late Mahomed Raza Khan in the name of his eldest son - Ahmed Khan with effect from 19.7.1919.

2) Letter No. H 3426/SJA 7-21-30 dt 27.1.13 to the D.C. Bangalore stating that Govt. are not in favor of sub-dividing the pension among different claimants of the family, as proposed by the Dy Comm.

over.

(3)

3) Correspondence ending with
letter No HC. 153 of 21-22 d). 22.3.23
from the D.C. Bancalak Supplying
on the petition of Ahmed Khan -
praying that the Sarjapur pension
Sanctioned in his name may be
registered in the name of his
uncle: Abdul Rahim Khan
(Note: F 5567-8 of 6) Misc/23

It is reported that the
petitioner ~~the beneficiary~~
Ahmed Khan his mother are
all living with his uncle Abdul
Rahim Khan & all the claimants
to the pension have agreed that
the pension may be registered
in the name of ~~the~~ Mr. Abdul
Rahim Khan, who is the head of
the family.

2) Govt. agree with the D.C's
recommendation & direct ~~that~~
in modification of G.O. dt 13.6.21.
read above, that the pension of Rs 5/10/0
be registered in the name of Abdul Rahim Khan

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

with effect from 19.7.1919.

3) The Comptroller is requested to issue a revised P.P.O. accordingly at an early date.

read 1/6/20

Dir of Treasury.

1/6/20

29/5/20

Do A.C. Bank Calcutta returning the records.

Comptroller Calcutta.

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

2 dft

SUBJECT:.

Diary No. of letter replied to 3760

Section SJA
File No. 7/21
Serial No. 33

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SJA)
, *the* *191*

No. H 5667

Date of issue 12 June/23

Signed by [illegible]

To

Mr. D. Abdul Rahiman Khan, Peish Imam & Sarjapur Jaghir Pensioner, Devarahalli, [illegible]

In reply to his petitions dt 9.X.21 & 25.X.21 praying that the pension sanctioned in the name of Ahmed Khan may be distributed to himself, the petitioner is informed that Govt. in their order No H 5567-8 dt 6.6.23 have transferred the pension

SJA 7-21-32

over.

pension in the name of his mother
Bibi Rahim Khan
2) Reply of the G.O. dated
as the subject is furnished for
his information
B.O.
9.6.
David Lockey
9/6.

pertain to the petn's name. ~~of the petitioner~~

2) A copy of G.O. is furnished for his information

B.O.

for H Leery

9/6

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

pension in the name of his uncle
Abdul Rahim Khan
2) Reply of the G.O. cited
on the subject is furnished for
his information.

B.O.

9.6

Dy. Secy.

9/6. 9/6.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by